

| | | |
|------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| GERALD PETERS GALLERY, INC., |) | 3:17-CV-0273-MMD (VPC) |
| et al., |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiffs, |) | <u>MINUTES OF THE COURT</u> |
| |) | |
| vs. |) | February 27, 2018 |
| |) | |
| PETER STREMMEL, et al., |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendants. |) | |
| |) | |

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NONE APPEARING

The court finds that plaintiffs did not communicate in good faith in order to discuss and resolve discovery issues. The object of meeting and conferring is not simply to demand that opposing counsel perform certain actions. LR IA 1-3 defines meet and confer as a “means to communicate directly and discuss in good faith the issues required under the particular rule or

order.” This requirement may only be satisfied through direct dialogue and discussion in a face-to-face meeting, telephone conference, or video conference.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DEBRA K. KEMPI, CLERK

By: /s/
Deputy Clerk